

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.


Paper No. 15

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte MATTHEW S. RYSKOSKI

Application No. 10/021,676



ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on March 23, 2004. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

On December 27, 2003, An Information Disclosure Statement was filed (Paper No. 9). The Information Disclosure Statement needs to be considered by the primary examiner with respect to compliance with the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying appellant of the primary examiner's decision is required.

On December 7, 2003, appellant filed Appeal Brief (Paper No. 10). A review of the file reveals that the fee was not charged for

the Appeal Brief. Before further review of this file, the above mentioned fee must be applied to the appellant's account.

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner for consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement,

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner for entry of the Appeal Brief and for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By: 

DALE M. SHAW  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

Application No. 10/021,676

Page 3

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.  
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100  
HOUSTON TX 77042